

Synergy of LEO and GEO observations for observing global AOD (and other aerosol properties)



Robert C. Levy (NASA-GSFC), <u>robert.c.levy@nasa.gov</u>

Slide contributions from:

Pawan Gupta (USRA/NASA-Marshall), Shobha Kondragunta (STAR-NOAA), Jhoon Kim (Yonsei U.)









How can we "observe" (and validate) this GOES-5 Nature Run animation?

Need a constellation of observations!



R Levy, P Gupta, S. Mattoo, L. Remer, S. Kondragunta, Y. Shi, R. Holz, J. Wei et al.

GEO: Temporal view of air quality (merge with LEO)



Estimating daily average PM2.5 is not enough. Estimating from polar orbit is also not enough.

Multiple exposures (even for only 2 hours) to high levels of PM2.5 can increase risk to cardiovascular disease by 40%.

Predicting hourly/sub-hourly PM2.5 using satellite aerosol products is critical.

S. Kondragunta et al.

Impact of CA/OR/WA Wildfires: Surface PM2.5 Estimated from SNPP+NOAA-20 VIIRS AOD, 2020 vs 2019



Air quality impact of smoke from fires. Daily PM2.5: 2020 vs. 2019

VIS/NIR/SWIR Imager + Ocean color Imager + UV/VIS Spectrometer, LEO+GEO Aerosol "Type", "Height", SSA, etc. \rightarrow



0.0

0.4

I. Kim et al.

Lots of work to do!

- Calibration (are all sensors "consistent"?)
- Funky geometry (GEO different than LEO, account in RT?)
- Canceling biases in LEO may not occur in GEO (scattering phase functions versus observing geometry, oversampling sites within disk)
- GEO Imager data are HUGE! (2.75 GB native disk imagery), so reprocessing with consistent algorithms needs thought, CPUs and storage
- Requires new algorithms, that make use of timedependence and multi-observation synergy
- Effective and useful imagery
- Communication of uncertainties when you have multiple kinds of sensors in different kinds of orbits.