# Analysis of AERONET Extended Wavelength Retrievals of Aerosol Absorption Parameters Including 380 nm and 500 nm for Detection of Brown Carbon in Biomass Burning and Iron Oxides in Desert Dust

**Thomas F. Eck**<sup>1,2</sup>, Brent N. Holben<sup>2</sup>, Alexander Sinyuk<sup>3,2</sup>, David M. Giles<sup>3,2</sup>, Ilya Slutsker<sup>3,2</sup>, Joel S. Schafer<sup>3,2</sup>, Mikhail G. Sorokin<sup>3,2</sup>, Alexander Smirnov<sup>3,2</sup>, Anthony D. LaRosa<sup>3,2</sup>, Jason Kraft<sup>4,2</sup> (1) Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD, USA; (2) NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA; (3) Science Systems Applications, Inc., Lanham, MD, USA; (4) Fibertek Inc., Herndon, VA, USA



Comparison of spectral SSA from AERONET retrievals (AE<0.4) from various dust sources and/or regions: Most weakly absorbing dust at 380 nm from Ilorin with trajectory from the Bodele, also a case from Mongolia. Strongest absorption from Australia (red soils with high iron oxides). Similar SSA at all sites for  $\lambda$  >600 nm.

#### Comparison of AERONET retrievals to in situ Lab measurements

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 15503–15531, 2019 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15503-2019 © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Complex refractive indices and single-scattering albedo of global dust aerosols in the shortwave spectrum and relationship to size and iron content

Chemistry and Physics

Claudia Di Biagio<sup>1</sup>, Paola Formenti<sup>1</sup>, Yves Balkanski<sup>2</sup>, Lorenzo Caponi<sup>1,3</sup>, Mathieu Cazaunau<sup>1</sup>, Edonard Pangui Emilie Journet<sup>1</sup>, Sophie Novak<sup>1</sup>, Meinrat O. Andreas<sup>1,5</sup>, Konrad Kandler<sup>1</sup>, Thuraya Saeed<sup>1</sup>, Stuart Piketh<sup>2</sup>, David Seber<sup>2</sup>, Barle Williams<sup>1</sup>, and Lean-François Doussin<sup>1</sup>

Table 5. As in Table 4 for the single-scattering albedo (SSA) data. Mean, m

Sample	SSA						
region	0.37 µm	0.47 µm	0.52 µm	0.59 µm	0.66 µm	0.88 µm	0.95 µm
Tunisia	0.85	0.90	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.97	0.97
Morocco	0.92	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.99
Libya	0.89	0.93	0.95	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Algeria	0.87	0.92	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.98	0.98
Mauritania	0.85	0.90	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98
NAF-S	0.88	0.92	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.98	0.98
Niger	0.72	0.85	0.89	0.91	0.92	0.94	0.95
Mali	0.75	0.85	0.89	0.93	0.95	0.96	0.96
Bodélé	0.96	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
SAH	0.81	0.89	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.97
Ethiopia	0.80	0.86	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.97	0.97
Saudi Arabia	0.88	0.93	0.96	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Kuwait	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99
EAF-ME	0.88	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98
Gobi	0.88	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.97
Taklimakan	0.82	0.88	0.92	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.96
EA	0.85	0.90	0.93	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.97
Arizona	0.93	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99
NAM	0.93	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99
Atacama	0.89	0.93	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.98	0.98
Patagonia	0.88	0.91	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98
SAM	0.89	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98
Namib-1	0.91	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99
Namib-2	0.74	0.82	0.86	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.97
SAF	0.83	0.88	0.91	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.98
Australia	0.70	0.81	0.85	0.91	0.93	0.96	0.97
AUS	0.70	0.81	0.85	0.91	0.93	0.96	0.97
Mean	0.85	0.91	0.93	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.98
Median	0.88	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98
10 %	0.74	0.84	0.88	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.96
90 %	0.93	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99

Di Biagio et al. (2019) measured spectral absorption in a laboratory from soil samples collected from around the world. Soil dust was suspended in a smog chamber for measurements of scattering and absorption coeff. to be made. The least absorbing dust came from samples taken in the Bodele, with SSA at 370 nm of 0.96, while the most absorbing sample came from Australia with SSA at 370 nm of 0.70. From the AERONET cases shown in the plot at left the most absorbing is from Birdsville, Australia with SSA at 380 nm ~0.84, while the least absorbing is from Mongolia and Ilorin, Nigeria ~0.93. For the weakly absorbing case in Nigeria the back trajectory suggests some origin from over the Bodele Depression. Therefore we see general agreement in the dust source regions for strongly and weakly absorbing particles, although the extremes are much greater in the lab data of Di Biagio et al. (2019). This may be due in part to dust from several sources mixing together in the transported aerosol plumes measured by AERONET.



## Ilorin, Nigeria AERONET site

Significant differences in dust absorption on different dates of large dust events (AOD(440)>1.4 for both).

Back trajectories suggest that dust in these events originated from different source regions





### Ilorin, Nigeria:

SSA from Feb-May is lower at shorter wavelengths than from October - December, possibly due to more transport from the Bodele to Ilorin in the fall season.

SSA increases as AOD increases, possibly in part due to the Bodele Depression events having both very high AOD and very low SSA (weak absorption in diatomaceous sediment versus iron oxides in mineral dust)

The data gap in January is likely due to lack of AE<0.4 due to biomass burning smoke mixing with dust





Kanpur, India: Strong trend of decreasing absorption through the spring to fall seasons at all wavelengths, greatest at shortest wavelengths (possible BC coating on dust - note that longer  $\lambda$  SSA increases also); no trend as a function of AOD; trend as a function of AE is only significant at longer wavelengths (r<sup>2</sup>=0.25 at 870 nm)



## GSFC Sep 15, '20: Long distance smoke transport from California

#### **Biomass Burning Smoke Size Distribution Comparisons Biomass Burning Smoke Size Distribution Comparisons** GSFC Sep 15, '20 - Long Distance transported aged smoke GSFC Sep 15, '20 - Long Distance transported aged smoke 0.95 2.00 1.20 Single Scattering Albedo Normalized to 500 nm SFC 4 Ch. Sep 15, '20 peak UCSB 4 Ch. Sep 07, '20 Monterey 4 Ch. Sep 02, '20 1.00 5 0.90 ----- La Jolla 4 ch. Sep 11, '20 ----- Fresno 4 ch. Sep 12, '20 malized ---- Mongu 4 ch. Aug 10, '18 +--- Palangkaraya 6 ch. Aug 23, '15 1.00 0.80 0.90 0.85 וoN [µm<sup>3</sup>/µm<sup>2</sup>] ו 0.80 0.70 0.60 **Aerosol Optical Depth** GSFC Sep 15, '20 0.60 CSB Sep 07, '20 0.80 onterev Sep 02, '20 0.50 La Jolla Sep 11, '20 GSFC Sep 15, '20 ----- Fresno Sep 12, '20 dV/ d (ln r) 0.40 CSB Sep 07, '20 0.40 - Mongu Aug 10, '18 Aonterev Sep 02, '20 -+-- Palangkarava Aug 23, '15 La Jolla Sep 11, '20 0.75 ------ Fresno Sep 12, '20 0.30 ----- Mongu Aug 10, '18 0.20 800 700 900 1000 1100 -+-- Palangkarava Aug 23, '15 Wavelength (nm) 0.00 0.20 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 0.1 NOAA HYSPLIT MODEL HYSPLIT Back-Trajectory shows that the air Radius (µm) Backward trajectories ending at 1300 UTC 15 Sep 20 Wavelength (nm)

- Normalized (to 500nm) AOD spectra at GSFC show extremely unusual decreases <500 nm. At the La Jolla, UCSB and Fresno cases the AOD spectra show at flattening from 380 to 340 nm, when this smoke was close to the fire sources.</p>
- Normalized size distributions ( to peak concentration values) comparisons to the GSFC case on Sep 15, '20 shows fine mode is significantly larger in radius and also much narrower in width
- Spectral SSA at GSFC for the Sep 15, '20 case shows strong absorption at 380 nm relative to 500 nm suggesting significant Brown Carbon absorption, but also due to decreased scattering optical depth <500 nm due to the large size with narrow width fine mode size distribution</p>
- AOD computed from the retrieved size distribution and refractive indices for the GSFC case matches the measured AOD to within 0.001 at all channels.



1.00

Trajectory Direction: Backward Duration: 240 hrs /ertical Motion Calculation Method: Model Vertical Velocity /leteorology: 0000Z 15 Sep 2020 - GDAS1 HYSPLIT Back-Trajectory shows that the air that arrived at GSFC at 6 km on Sep 15 was located over the Pacific off the west coast of California and Oregon from Sep 8 through Sep 12, 2020. MPL backscatter at GSFC showed aerosol layer at 4-6 km altitude on Sep 15. This smoke is aging for a few days in an elevated layer over the Pacific Ocean, with some input from active fires each day, until it is advected towards the East coast (Sep 10 Aqua image below)

**Biomass Burning Smoke Size Distribution Comparisons** 

GSFC Sep 15, '20 - Long Distance transported aged smoke

